Constructive Approximation manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Integral identities derived from the complex Funk-Hecke formula

C. P. Oliveira · Jorge Buescu

arXiv:1404.1030v1 [math.CV] 3 Apr 2014

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this paper we derive integral identities involving both the unit sphere and the unit disk or subsets thereof. In addition these identities lead to a prototype of the Funk-Hecke formula for subspheres embedded in Ω_{2q}. The technique requires the use of the complex Funk-Hecke formula, where eigenvalues of the integral operator generated by a bizonal kernel on the unit sphere Ω_{2q} of $\mathbb{C}^q$ are given by an integral on the closed unit disk B_q of $\mathbb{C}^{q-1}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 32A17, 32A25, 32A50, 33C55, 47A13, 43A90, 42B35, 45P05.

Keywords addition formula, Funk-Hecke formula, orthogonal polynomials, spherical harmonic, zonal function.

1 Introduction

Let q be a positive integer. We consider the unitary space $\mathbb{C}^q$ equipped with the usual inner product given by

$$\langle z, w\rangle := z_1\overline{w}_1 + z_2\overline{w}_2 + \cdots + z_q\overline{w}_q, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^q,$$

where we write $z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_q)$ and $w = (w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_q)$.

Throughout the paper, $|\cdot|$ denotes the usual absolute value in $\mathbb{C}$, while $\|\cdot\|$ stands for the norm induced by the inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

The unit disk and the unit sphere of $(\mathbb{C}^q, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$, both centered at the origin, will appear frequently in the text. These are defined respectively by

$$B_{q+1} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^q \,:\, \langle z, z\rangle \leq 1\}, \quad \Omega_{2q} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^q : \langle z, z\rangle = 1\}.$$

Universidade Federal de Itajubá - Instituto de Matemática e Computação.
Caixa Postal 50, 37500-903. Itajubá - MG, Brasil.
E-mail: oliveira@unifei.edu.br
Universidade de Lisboa - Dep. Matematica and CMAF.
E-mail: jbuescu@ptmat.fc.ul.pt

Observe in particular that, with this notation, B_2 stands for the unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$, whereas Ω_2 stands for the unit circle in $\mathbb{C}$.

We will deal with spaces of polynomials in the variables z and $\overline{z}$ of $\mathbb{C}^q$, where $\overline{z}$ denotes complex conjugation. The most general is $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^q)$, the space of polynomials in the independent variables z and $\overline{z}$. Thus, when α and β are multi-indices with nonnegative integer coordinates, an element p in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ may be expressed as

$$p(z) := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \sum_{|\beta|\leq n} c_{\alpha,\beta} z^{\alpha} \overline{z}^{\beta}, \quad c_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^q,$$

where m and n are nonnegative integers. We denote the subspace of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ formed by homogeneous polynomials of degree m in z and degree n in $\overline{z}$ by $\mathbb{P}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. Hence,

$$p(\lambda z) = \lambda^m \overline{\lambda}^n p(z), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \quad p \in \mathbb{P}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q).$$

The solid harmonics are given by the subspace $\mathbb{H}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q)$ of $\mathbb{P}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. They are formed by the polynomials belonging to the kernel of the complex Laplace operator

$$\Delta_{(2q)} := 4 \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_j \partial \overline{z}_j}.$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathbb{H}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q) := \mathbb{P}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q) \cap \mathrm{Ker} \Delta_{(2q)}.$$

The last unitary space that we introduce is $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$. This is the space of spherical harmonics on Ω_{2q}. A complex spherical harmonic is the restriction to Ω_{2q} of an element of $\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{H}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. We will write $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ to denote the space of complex spherical harmonics that are restrictions to Ω_{2q} of elements of $\mathbb{H}_{m,n}(\mathbb{C}^q)$. The dimension of this space is the finite number $d(m,n)$ ([12]). When necessary

$$\left\{ Y_{m,n}^j \, : \, j = 1, \ldots, d(m,n) \right\}$$

will denote an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ with respect to the usual inner product of $L^2(\Omega_{2q}, d\sigma_q)$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_2 = \int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\sigma_q(z), \quad f, g \in L^2(\Omega_{2q}, d\sigma_q).$$

The Borel measure σ_q on Ω_{2q} is normalized by the condition

$$\omega_q := \int_{\Omega_{2q}} d\sigma_q(z) = \frac{2\pi^q}{(q-1)!}. \tag{1}$$

Thus, the constant ω_q is the ordinary measure of the sphere Ω_{2q}. We recall that σ is invariant with respect to isometric operators on $\mathbb{R}^{2q}$ fixing the origin. It is useful to recall that the Lebesgue measure dz on B_{q+1} is such that

$$\int_{B_{q+1}} dz = \frac{\omega_q}{2q} = \frac{\pi^q}{q!}.$$

For more details on complex spherical analysis we recommend references [2], [12] and [13].

This paper is organized as follows. We reserve Section 2 to address the technical results of harmonic analysis that will be needed for the proof of the main results. In Section 3, we present some comments on the historical origin of the Funk-Hecke formula, a proof of which in our context is also supplied. In Section 4, we use coefficients of the Funk-Hecke formula given by an integral on the closed unit disk B_q obtained in the Section 3 to find integral identities, some of which are known while others are entirely new. As a result, we derive a version of the Funk-Hecke formula for subspheres embedded in Ω_{2q}.

2 Spherical harmonic analysis

In this section we review some basic results from spherical analysis. We refer the reader to references ([8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [18]) for more thorough information on this subject.

We begin with the following lemma which lists some basic properties of disk polynomials. Recall that disk polynomials are only defined for $q > 1$, so whenever they appear we are always assuming this hypothesis. The disk polynomial of degree m in z and of degree n in $\overline{z}$ associated to the integer $q-2$ is the function $R^{q-2}_{m,n}$ defined by

$$R^{q-2}_{m,n}(re^{i\theta}) := r^{|m-n|}e^{i(m-n)\theta}P^{(q-2,|m-n|)}_{m\wedge n}(2r^2-1), \quad re^{i\theta} \in B_2,$$

where $m \wedge n := \min\{m, n\}$ and $P^{(q-2,m-n)}_k$ is the usual Jacobi polynomial of degree k associated to the integers $q-2$, $m-n$ normalized by $P^{(q-2,m-n)}_k(1) = 1$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. As a consequence, disk polynomials satisfy the normalization condition $R^{q-2}_{m,n}(1) = 1$, $m, n = 0, 1, \ldots$.

The following properties are now immediate from the above definition.

Lemma 1 *Let $q > 1$ be an integer and m, n nonnegative integers. Then the following properties hold:*
1. $R^{q-2}_{m,n}(e^{i\theta}z) = e^{i(m-n)\theta}R^{q-2}_{m,n}(z)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, $z \in B_2$;
2. $|R^{q-2}_{m,n}(z)| \leq 1$, $z \in B_2$;
3. $R^{q-2}_{m,n}(\overline{z}) = \overline{R^{q-2}_{m,n}(z)} = R^{q-2}_{n,m}(z)$, $z \in B_2$.

Disk polynomials are connected to spherical harmonics in the following way ([12]).

Lemma 2 (Addition Formula) *Let $q > 1$ be an integer. If m and n are nonnegative integers, then*

$$R_{m,n}^{q-2}(\langle z,w\rangle) = \frac{\omega_q}{d(m,n)} \sum_{j=1}^{d(m,n)} Y_{m,n}^j(z)\overline{Y_{m,n}^j(w)}, \quad z,w \in \Omega_{2q}. \tag{2}$$

Next let w be in Ω_{2q} and consider γ in B_2. The subsphere Ω_w^γ of Ω_{2q} with radius $(1-|\gamma|^2)^{1/2}$ and pole w is the intersection of Ω_{2q} with the hyperplane $\langle z,w\rangle = \gamma$, $z \in \Omega_{2q}$. In other words,

$$\Omega_w^\gamma := \{z \in \Omega_{2q} \,:\, \langle z,w\rangle = \gamma\}\,. \tag{3}$$

Since the above definition makes sense only when $q > 1$, this will be a standing hypothesis when these subspheres appear in context.

We remark that Ω_w^0 is a copy of Ω_{2q-2} embedded in Ω_{2q} that is orthogonal to w while $\Omega_w^1 = \{w\}$. The restriction σ_w^γ of σ_q to Ω_w^γ makes this set a measurable space and we have the following relation between surface elements:

$$d\sigma_w^\gamma = (1-|\gamma|^2)^{(2q-3)/2} d\sigma_{q-1}, \quad \gamma \in B_2. \tag{4}$$

The following technical lemma shows that elements of Ω_{2q} may be expressed in terms of the pole w and of an element of Ω_w^γ.

Lemma 3 *Let $q > 1$ be an integer and w be an element of Ω_{2q}. If $z \in \Omega_{2q}$ and $\gamma \in B_2$ such that $|\gamma| \neq 1$, then there exists $te^{i\theta} \in B_2$ and satisfying*

$$z = \left(t\,e^{i\theta} - \gamma \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{\sqrt{1-|\gamma|^2}} \right) w + \frac{\sqrt{1-t^2}}{\sqrt{1-|\gamma|^2}} z', \quad z' \in \Omega_w^\gamma. \tag{5}$$

Proof Let $z \in \Omega_{2q}$ and $\gamma \in B_2$. If $z = \pm w$ then expression (5) holds for $te^{i\theta} = \pm 1$ and z' an arbitrary element in Ω_w^γ, $|\gamma| \neq 1$. For the case $z \in \Omega_w^\gamma$ or $z \in \Omega_{-w}^\gamma$ when $|\gamma| \neq 1$, it is enough to consider $z' = z$ and $te^{i\theta} = \gamma$ or $te^{i\theta} = -\gamma$. Now, assume that z is distinct from $\pm w$ and is not in Ω_w^γ, $|\gamma| \neq 1$. Spherical projection of z then gives rise to a vector $w' \in \Omega_w^0$ such that $z = \langle z,w\rangle w + \langle z,w'\rangle w'$. Thus there exist $t,t' \in [0,1]$ and $\theta,\theta' \in [0,2\pi)$ such that $\langle z,w\rangle = te^{i\theta}$ and $\langle z,w'\rangle = t'e^{i\theta'}$. Since $\langle w',w\rangle = 0$ and $\langle z,z\rangle = 1$, it follows that

$$z = te^{i\theta}w + \sqrt{1-t^2}\, e^{i\theta'} w'. \tag{6}$$

Now consider the vector

$$z' = \gamma w + \sqrt{1-|\gamma|^2}\, e^{i\theta'} w' \tag{7}$$

in Ω_w^γ. Elimination of $e^{i\theta'}w'$ in (6) and (7) leads to the representation (5), which concludes the proof. ∎

When $\gamma = 0$, expression (5) leads to the well-known relationship between the measures $d\sigma_q$ and $d\sigma_{q-1}$

$$d\sigma_q(z) = t(1-t^2)^{q-2} dt\, d\theta\, d\sigma_{q-1}(z'), \quad te^{i\theta} \in B_2, \tag{8}$$

where $z = t\, e^{i\theta} w + \sqrt{1-t^2}\, z'$, $z' \in \Omega_{2q-2}$.

Performing a change of variables to polar coordinates we find that ([17, p.13])

$$\int_{B_{q+1}} f(\eta) d\eta = \int_0^1 \left[\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(rz) d\sigma_q(z) \right] r^{2q-1} dr, \quad f \in L^1(B_{q+1}, d\eta). \tag{9}$$

The following theorem is the essential ingredient for our main result. It establishes an identity between the integral over the sphere Ω_{2q} and the integral over the cylinder $[0, 2\pi] \times B_q$.

Theorem 1 *Let w be an element of Ω_{2q} for $q > 1$. If f is a function of $L^1(\Omega_{2q}, d\sigma_q)$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z) d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{B_q} f\left(\eta + e^{i\theta} \sqrt{1 - \|\eta\|^2}\, w\right) d\eta d\theta.$$

Proof We consider a σ_q-integrable function f over Ω_{2q}. Then expression (8) implies the equality

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z) d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left[\int_{\Omega_{2q-2}} \widetilde{f}(z', t, \theta)\, d\sigma_{q-1}(z') \right] t(1-t^2)^{q-2} dt\, d\theta,$$

in which $\widetilde{f}(z', t, \theta) = f\left(te^{i\theta} w + \sqrt{1-t^2} z'\right)$. Performing the change of variable $r = \sqrt{1-t^2}$ leads to

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z)\, d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \left[\int_{\Omega_{2q-2}} f\left(e^{i\theta} \sqrt{1-r^2}\, w + rz'\right)\, d\sigma_{q-1}(z') \right] r^{2q-3} dr d\theta.$$

Identity (9) applied to the inner integral of the previous equation leads to (10), concluding the proof. ∎

In particular, when w is the vector $\varepsilon_q = (0, \ldots, 1) \in \Omega_{2q}$ for $q > 1$, we see that (10) assumes the form

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z) d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{B_q} f\left(\eta, z_q e^{i\theta}\right) d\eta d\theta, \quad \eta = (z_1, \ldots, z_{q-1}). \tag{10}$$

This equality thus reduces, in this special case, to the identity of Rudin ([17, Proposition 1.4.7]).

The integral identity below is both the key motivation and, in a sense, the prototype of the general results to be derived in this paper. Suppose the function under the integral sign in Theorem 1, which we shall denote by K, is

bizonal over $\mathbb{C}^q$ – that is, its argument depends only on the inner product of $\mathbb{C}^q$. Let $w \in \Omega_{2q}$. It then follows that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K\left(\langle z, w\rangle\right)\, d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{B_q} K\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\, e^{i\theta}\right) d\eta d\theta,\ K \in L^1(B_2, dz).$$

We note that this identity is invariant with respect to the pole w, a property which shall be explored in Proposition 4 below.

Recall that the measure which connects disk polynomials with the spherical harmonics present in the Funk-Hecke formula is defined by

$$d\nu_q(z) := \frac{q-1}{\pi}(1-x^2-y^2)^{q-2} dx\, dy, \quad z = x+iy \in B_2, \tag{11}$$

where $q > 1$. Thus the relationship between $d\nu_q$ and ordinary Lebesgue measure dz is

$$d\nu_q(z) = \frac{q-1}{\pi}(1-|z|^2)^{q-2} dz, \quad z \in B_2. \tag{12}$$

We now state without proof some standard facts necessary for our main result, to be presented in the next section. We start with a lemma stating a well-known property about density ([2]).

Lemma 4 *Let $q > 1$. The set $\left\{R_{m,n}^{q-2} : m, n = 0, 1, \ldots\right\}$ span the space polynomials on B_2 that are restrictions of elements of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$. This set is a complete orthogonal system of $L^2(B_2, d\nu_q)$.*

The Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem is the subject of the next result ([4]).

Lemma 5 *Every continuous complex function on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ may be uniformly approximated by a polynomial in the variables z and $\overline{z}$.*

The proof of the lemma below is standard and will also be omitted. By $L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$ we denote the space of ν_q-integrable functions over B_2.

Lemma 6 *Let $q > 1$ and (f_n) be a sequence of $L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$. If (f_n) has uniform limit f then f is an element of $L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$ and*

$$\int_{B_2} f(z) d\nu_q(z) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{B_2} f_n(z) d\nu_q(z).$$

There is a version of Lemma 6 for functions in $L^1(\Omega_{2q}, d\sigma_q)$.

We close the section with the final result that we will use. It is Lusin's Theorem adapted to our context ([4]).

Lemma 7 *Let $q > 1$ and f be a function of $L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$. Then there exists a sequence (f_n) formed by complex continuous functions on B_2 such that*

$$|f_n(z)| \leq \sup_{w\in B_2} |f(w)|,\ n = 0, 1, \ldots, \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(z) = f(z),\ a.e..$$

3 The Funk-Hecke formula via a complex cylinder

The purpose of this section is to formulate a complex version of the Funk-Hecke formula, where the coefficients are obtained by an integral over the cylinder $[0, 2\pi] \times B_q$. We begin with some background.

The formula in question refers to a class of integral operators generated by a ν_q-integrable kernel K. These operators have spherical harmonics as eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigenvalues admit integral representations involving both K and certain polynomials ([15], [16]). The importance of this formula within the framework of Harmonic Analysis is well-known. The intimate relationship of the formula with integral operators on the unit sphere is explored in depth in references [1], [2], [6] and [14].

The classic formula of Funk-Hecke dates originally from 1915 ([5], [7]). It refers to the unit sphere S^{q-1} in the euclidian space $(\mathbb{R}^q, \cdot)$, where $\cdot$ is the usual euclidian inner product. Its most common form is the following proposition ([3, p. 11]).

Proposition 1 *Let $q > 1$ and consider an element K of $L^1([-1,1], dt) \cap L^1([-1,1], (1-t^2)^{(q-3)/2}dt)$. If Y is a standard spherical harmonic of degree n on S^{q-1}, then for each $y \in S^{q-1}$ the function $x \in S^{q-1} \mapsto K(x \cdot y)Y(x)$ is τ_q-integrable over S^{q-1} and*

$$\int_{S^{q-1}} K(x \cdot y)Y(x)d\tau_q(x) = \lambda_n^q(K)Y(y), \quad y \in S^{q-1},$$

where

$$\lambda_n^q(K) = \frac{2(\sqrt{\pi})^{q-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{q-1}{2}\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} K(t)P_n^{(q-2)/2}(t)(1-t^2)^{(q-3)/2}dt.$$

Here, τ_q is the Borel measure on S^{q-1}, $P_n^{(q-2)/2}$ is the normalized Gegenbauer polynomial of degree n associated to $(q-2)/2$ and Γ is the usual gamma function.

Xu Yuan proved in 2000 another version of the formula on S^{m-1}([19]). Its formulation depends on a group which is finitely generated by a set of reflexive operators on hyperplanes of $\mathbb{R}^m$. The difference with respect to the present context is the replacement of spherical harmonics by h-spherical harmonics, where h is a suitable weight function. Thus Proposition 1 may be seen as a special case of the theory of Xu where h is the constant function 1. This theory is extensive and shall not be addressed here, since it is not essential to our purposes.

The complex version of the Funk-Hecke formula was first explored in 1984 in [16]. Quinto's proof is based on arguments from group theory and Haar measure. In 2005 a proof using independent arguments was presented in [15, Theorem 3.5]. The formulation is as follows.

Proposition 2 *Let $q > 1$ and w be an element of Ω_{2q}. If $K \in L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ then the function $z \in \Omega_{2q} \mapsto K(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}$ is σ_q-integrable and*

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K)\overline{Y(w)}, \tag{13}$$

where

$$\lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K) = \omega_q \int_{B_2} K(z)\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}(z)}d\nu_q(z). \tag{14}$$

A distinct but equivalent formulation of this result is derived below. Although the proof of this version of the Funk-Hecke formula follows the same structure as the proof of Proposition 2, we stress that it does not reduce to a mere adaptation.

Theorem 2 *Let $q > 1$ and w be an element of Ω_{2q}. If $K \in L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$ and $Y \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$, then the function $z \in \Omega_{2q} \mapsto K(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}$ is σ_q-integrable and*

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \Lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K)\overline{Y(w)}, \tag{15}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{B_q} K\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\, e^{i\theta}\right) \overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\, e^{i\theta}\right)}\, d\eta\, d\theta. \tag{16}$$

Proof We begin the proof by analyzing the case where K is the polynomial $R_{k,l}^{q-2}$, in which k and l are nonnegative integers. Then the map $z \in \Omega_{2q} \mapsto R_{k,l}^{q-2}(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}$ is σ_q-integrable. We write Y in the form

$$Y = \sum_{j=1}^{d(m,n)} a_j Y_{m,n}^j, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{C}$$

and use the addition formula (2), obtaining

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} R_{k,l}^{q-2}(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \frac{\omega_q}{d(k,l)}\delta_{km}\delta_{ln} \sum_{i=1}^{d(k,l)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d(m,n)} \overline{a}_j \delta_{ij} \right) \overline{Y_{k,l}^i(w)}.$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1 and again using (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K) &= \int_{\Omega_{2q}} R_{k,l}^{q-2}(\langle z, w\rangle)\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}(\langle z, w\rangle)}d\sigma_q(z) \\ &= \frac{\omega_q \delta_{km}}{d(k,l)} \frac{\omega_q \delta_{ln}}{d(m,n)} \sum_{i=1}^{d(k,l)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d(m,n)} \delta_{ij} Y_{m,n}^j(w) \right) \overline{Y_{k,l}^i(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions above, it is clear that (15) holds when $(k,l) \neq (m,n)$. When $(k,l) = (m,n)$, direct computation by means of (2) again shows that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} R_{k,l}^{q-2}(\langle z,w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \frac{\omega_q}{d(m,n)}\overline{Y(w)} = \Lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K)\overline{Y(w)}.$$

For the proof of the general case, we begin by recalling the density result described in Lemma 4. Since the integral operators in (14) and (15) are bounded, the formula of theorem holds when K is an element in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$ restricted to B_2. Suppose now that K is a continuous function in B_2. We then use Lemma 5 to select a sequence (p_j) in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C})$, restricted to B_2 such that $p_j \to K$ uniformly as $j \to \infty$. Continuity of the operators involved and Lemma 6 imply that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle z,w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{\Omega_{2q}} p_j(\langle z,w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \Lambda_{m,n}^{q}(K)\overline{Y(w)}.$$

Finally, suppose K is ν_q-integrable over B_2. Select a sequence (K_j) of continuous complex functions as in Lemma 7 such that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} K_j(z) = K(z), \quad |K_j(z)| \leq \sup_{w\in\Omega_{2q}} |K(w)|, \quad j = 0,1,\ldots, \text{ a.e..}$$

Then for each w in Ω_{2q},

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} K_j(\langle z,w\rangle) = K(\langle z,w\rangle),\ z \in \Omega_{2q}, \quad \text{a.e..}$$

Hence, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, identity (15) is valid for every function $K \in L^1(B_2, d\nu_q)$. This completes the proof. ■

Note that the eigenvalues that appear in (14) and (16) are the same, and therefore the different integral expressions obtained are equivalent. However, the equality of these two expressions is not trivial and seems to be unknown in the literature.

Since we are dealing with two complex variables and complex functions, the above formula has several interesting variants which we present below. These equalities use the fact that the operation of complex conjugation establishes a bijection from $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ to $\mathcal{H}_{n,m}(\Omega_{2q})$.

Corollary 1 *Under the hypotheses of the above theorem, the following identities hold:*

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle z,w\rangle)Y(z)d\sigma_q(z) = \Lambda_{n,m}^{q-2}(K)Y(w),$$

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle w,z\rangle)Y(z)d\sigma_q(z) = \Lambda_{m,n}^{q-2}(K)Y(w),$$

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle w,z\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \Lambda_{n,m}^{q-2}(K)\overline{Y(w)}.$$

4 Integrals relating the unit sphere to its subspheres

In this section we will obtain integral representations relating $L^1([0,1],dt)$, $L^1(B_q,d\eta)$, $L^1(\Omega_{2q},d\sigma_q)$ and $L^1(B_2,d\nu_q)$. This will also allow the construction of a kind of Funk-Hecke theorem for subspheres Ω_w^γ.

Proposition 3 *Let $q>1$ and w be an element of Ω_{2q}. If f is a function of $L^1(\Omega_{2q},d\sigma_q)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_{2q}} f(z)d\sigma_q(z) &= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{B_q} f\left(\eta+e^{i\theta}\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\,\varepsilon_q\right)d\eta\,d\theta\\ &= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{B_q} f\left(\eta+e^{i\theta}\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\,w\right)d\eta d\theta,\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta=(z_1,\ldots,z_{q-1})$ and $\varepsilon_q=(0,\ldots,1)$.

Proof The first equality follows from (10), while the second is a consequence of Theorem 1. ∎

The statement of Proposition 3 for the special case of a bizonal function is dealt with in the following propositions.

Proposition 4 *Let $q>1$ and w be an element of Ω_{2q}. If $K\in L^1(B_2,d\nu_q)$, then the function $z\in\Omega_{2q}\mapsto K(\langle z,w\rangle)$ is σ_q-integrable and*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle z,w\rangle)\,d\sigma_q(z) &= \omega_q\int_{B_2} K(z)d\nu_q(z)\\ &= \int_0^{2\pi}\int_{B_q} K\left(e^{i\theta}\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\right)d\eta\,d\theta\\ &= \omega_{q-1}\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^1 K\left(te^{i\theta}\right)t(1-t^2)^{q-2}dt\,d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

Proof The equalities cames of Theorem 2 and Proposition 2.

Proposition 5 *[17, Formula 1.4.3.] Let $q>0$ and K be a continuous function over B_{q+1}. If w is an element in B_{q+1}, then*

$$\int_{B_{q+1}} K\left(\langle\eta,w\rangle\right)d\eta=\int_0^1\left[\int_{\Omega_{2q}} K(\langle rz,w\rangle)d\sigma_q(z)\right]r^{2q-1}dr.$$

In particular, when K is a continuous radial function the previous proposition implies that

$$\int_{B_{q+1}} K(\|\eta\|)d\eta=\omega_q\int_0^1 K(r)\,r^{2q-1}dr,\quad K(\|\cdot\|)\in L^1(B_2,dz).$$

Using the orthogonality relations for disk polynomials ([2]), we see that Proposition 4 also implies the following orthogonality property of these polynomials over the cylinder $[0, 2\pi] \times B_q$:

$$\int_0^{2\pi}\int_{B_q} R_{\mu,\nu}^{q-2}\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\,e^{i\theta}\right)\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\,e^{i\theta}\right)}\,d\eta\,d\theta = c(m,n,q)\delta_{\mu m}\delta_{\nu n},$$

where $\delta_{\mu m}$ is Kronecker's delta function and

$$c(m,n,q) = \frac{2\pi^q m!n!(q-2)!}{(m+n+q-1)(m+q-2)!(n+q-2)!}.$$

Then Lemma 1 implies that

$$\int_{B_q} R_{\mu,\nu}^{q-2}\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\right)\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}\left(\sqrt{1-\|\eta\|^2}\right)}\,d\eta = \frac{c(m,n,q)}{2\pi}\delta_{\mu-\nu}\delta_{m-n}.$$

Our last result is a prototype of the Funk-Hecke formula for subspheres Ω_w^γ.

Theorem 3 *Let $q > 1$ and consider w in Ω_{2q}. If K is a complex function over B_2 and Y in $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$, then*

$$\int_{\Omega_w^\gamma} K(\langle z,w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_w^\gamma(z) = \Upsilon_{m,n}^\gamma(K)\overline{Y(w)}, \quad \gamma \in B_2, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{m,n}^\gamma(K) = \omega_{q-1}(1-|\gamma|^2)^{(2q-3)/2}K(\gamma)\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}(\gamma)}. \tag{18}$$

Proof We consider $Y \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ and $K : B_2 \to \mathbb{C}$ a function. Applying the decomposition (4) it follows that for each $\gamma \in B_2$

$$\int_{\Omega_w^\gamma} K(\langle z,w\rangle)\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_w^\gamma(z) = (1-|\gamma|^2)^{(2q-3)/2}K(\gamma)\int_{\Omega_{2q-2}}\widetilde{Y}(z'')d\sigma_{q-1}(z''), \tag{19}$$

where $\widetilde{Y}(z'') = \overline{Y}(\gamma w + \sqrt{1-|\gamma|^2}\,z'')$. To compute the last integral we use decomposition (8), obtaining

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}}\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^1\left[\int_{\Omega_{2q-2}}\widetilde{Y}(z'')d\sigma_{q-1}(z'')\right]t(1-t^2)^{q-2}dtd\theta,\ w \in \Omega_{2q}.$$

On the other hand, Theorem 2 and the previous proposition imply that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q}}\overline{Y(z)}d\sigma_q(z) = \int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^1\left[\omega_{q-1}\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}(te^{i\theta})}\overline{Y(w)}\right]t(1-t^2)^{q-2}dtd\theta.$$

Comparing these integrals we see that

$$\int_{\Omega_{2q-2}}\widetilde{Y}(z'')d\sigma_{q-1}(z'') = \omega_{q-1}\overline{R_{m,n}^{q-2}(te^{i\theta})}\overline{Y(w)}.$$

Inserting the last integral in (19) we obtain formula (17), concluding the proof.

Remark. Apart from being defined on B_2, no hypothesis on K is necessary for the validity of (17) and (18). This holds because $\langle z, w\rangle = \gamma$ is constant over Ω_w^γ where integration is being performed. Thus the presence of K in the statement of Theorem 3 is not strictly necessary; however, we chose to state it in these terms so that it acts as a prototype of the Funk-Hecke formula for subspheres.

We next point out some results which are immediately derived from the above theorem. It is noteworthy to observe that

$$\sigma_w^\gamma(\Omega_w^\gamma) = \frac{2\pi^{q-1}}{(q-2)!}(1-|\gamma|^2)^{(2q-3)/2}, \quad \gamma \in B_2,\, w \in \Omega_{2q}. \tag{20}$$

In particular, when $\gamma = 0$ the constant above is the measure of the sphere Ω_{2q-2}, which is given by (1). For the case $\gamma = 1$, we have $\sigma_w^1(\Omega_w^1) = 0$, which coincides with the measure of the set $\Omega_w^1 = \{w\}$.

In addition, Theorem 3 provides an interesting geometric interpretation of the Funk-Hecke formula. The mean value property for integrals of $Y \in \mathcal{H}_{m,n}(\Omega_{2q})$ over the subsphere Ω_w^γ may be expressed by

$$\int_{\Omega_w^\gamma} Y(z)\, d\sigma_w^\gamma(z) = \sigma_w^\gamma(\Omega_w^\gamma) R_{m,n}^{q-2}(\gamma) Y(w), \quad \gamma \in B_2,\, w \in \Omega_{2q}.$$

The mean value property appears in many problems associated to shift operators or to convolution operators over Ω_w^γ.

The analog of Theorem 3 when the argument of K is now allowed to depend on a fixed point $w' \in \Omega_{2q}$ distinct from w remains an open and interesting problem.

Acknowledgements The first author was supported by CAPES - BEX 10884/13-0. The secund author was partially supported by Fundação para a Ciencia e Tecnologia, PEst-OE/MAT/UI0209/2011.

References

1. Axler, S.; Bourdeon, P. and Ramey, W., *Harmonic function theory.* Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 137. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
2. Boyd, J. N. and Raychowdhry, P. N., *Zonal harmonic functions from two-dimensional analogs of Jacobi polynomials.* Applicable Anal., vol. 16, no. 3, 243–259, 1983.
3. Dai, F. and Xu, Y., *Approximation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Spheres and Balls.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics, XVIII, 2013.
4. Folland, G. B., *Real analysis.* Modern techniques and their applications, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1999.
5. Funk, P., *Beiträge zur theorie der kugelfunktionen.* Math. Ann., vol. 77, no. 1, 136–152, 1915.
6. Groemer, H., *Geometric aplications of Fourier series and spherical harmonics.* Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
7. Hecke, E., *Über orthogonal-invariante Integralgleichungen.* Math. Ann., vol. 78, no. 1, 398–404, 1917.
8. Ikeda, M. *On spherical functions for the unitary group I. General theory*, Mem. Fac. Engrg. Hiroshima Univ., vol. 3, p. 17–29, 1967.

9. Ikeda, M. *On spherical functions for the unitary group II. The case of two dimensions*, Mem. Fac. Engrg. Hiroshima Univ., vol. 3, p. 31–53, 1967.
10. Ikeda, M. *On spherical functions for the unitary group III. The case of three dimensions*, Mem. Fac. Engrg. Hiroshima Univ., vol. 3, p. 55–75, 1967.
11. Ikeda, M. and Kayama, T., *On spherical functions for the unitary group IV. The case of higher dimensions*, Mem. Fac. Engrg. Hiroshima Univ., vol. 3, p. 77–100, 1967.
12. Koornwinder, T. H., *The addition formula for Jacobi Polynomials II. The Laplace type integral representation an the product formula*, Math. Centrum Amsterdam, Report TW133, 1972.
13. Koornwinder, T. H., *The addition formula for Jacobi Polynomials III. Completion of the proof*, Math. Centrum Amsterdam, Report TW135, 1972.
14. Müller, C., *Analysis of spherical symmetries in Euclidean spaces.* Applied Mathematical Sciences, 129. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
15. Oliveira, C. P. and Menegatto, V. A., *Annihilating properties of convolution operators on complex spheres.* Analysis Mathematica, v. 31, p. 13–30, 2005.
16. Quinto, E. T., *Injectivity of rotation invariant Radon transform on complex hyperplanes in* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Integral Geometry (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), in: Contemp. Math., vol. 63, Amer. Math. Soc., (Province, RI, 1987), p. 245–260.
17. Rudin, W., *Function theory in the unit ball of* $\mathbb{C}^n$. Springer-Verlag, new York-Berlin, 1980.
18. Szegö, G., *Orthogonal Polynomials.* American Mathematical Society. Colloq. Publ. vol. 23, Providence, RI, 1975.
19. Xu, Y., *Funk-Hecke formula for orthogonal polynomials on spheres and on balls.* Bull. London Math. Soc., 32, p. 447–457, 2000.